

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/022,636	WILLIAMS ET AL.
	Examiner Cephia D. Toomer	Art Unit 1714

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) Cephia D. Toomer. (3) _____. _____

(2) Alexander Migirov. (4) _____. _____

Date of Interview: 15 April 2005 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Mogirov indicated that no response to the last office action has been filed and that Applicant intends to petition to have the application revived.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)